NAO 472 (Rev. 12/03) Order of Dete		49. Filed 05/30/	13 Pa 1 of 3 Pa ID 86
2:13 <del>-01-2031</del>	UNITED STAT		<u> </u>
Eastern		District of	
UNITED STATES O	······································		Michigan
Frank A	nderson	ORDER Case Numbe	OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Defendant In accordance with the Bail Ref detention of the defendant pending t	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f),		been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
determion of the detendant pending t	and in this case.	-Findings of Fact	•
a crime of violence as an offense for which the	vith an offense described in 181	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and a circumstance giving ri(4).	I has been convicted of a  federal offense  state se to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
(2) The offense described in fine (3) A period of not more than for the offense described in (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3)	ive years has elapsed since the finding (1).  3) establish a rebuttable presum	the defendant was on real date of conviction	more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.  elease pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.  The release of the defendant from imprisonment  or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
sately of (an) onici person(s	and the community. I further	find that the defendant ative Findings (A)	t has not rebutted this presumption.
(2) The defendant has not rebutt	elieve that the defendant has co erm of imprisonment of ten yea c). ed the presumption established	ommitted an offense rs or more is prescribed by finding I that no cor	l in  edition or combination of conditions will reasonably assur
the appearance of the defend	ant as required and the safety (	or the community.	continued to continue of conditions with reasonably assur
(1) There is a serious risk that th	Alterns se defendant will not appear	ative Findings (B)	
(2) There is a serious risk that th	e defendant will endanger the	safety of another person	or the community.
I find that the credible testimony a crance of the evidence that	Part II—Written State and information submitted at the	ement of Reasons fo	r Detention y Clear and convincing evidence T a prepon-
sonable opportunity for private cons	ustody of the Attorney General awaiting or serving sentences sultation with defense coursel	Or being held in custo	ention entative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, ody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a of the United States or on request of an attorney for the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
Date	<u> </u>	00-00-000	grature of Judge
	<u> </u>	U.S. Magistr	ate Judge Mona K. Majzoub
	<del></del>		e and Title of Judge

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## Frank Anderson Order of Detention

Defendant is 35 years old, single and the father of a minor child with a Ms. Katrice Redding. Ms. Redding emphatically stated that if Defendant is released that he may not reside with her. Defendant is charged by way of federal Indictment with Bank Robbery. On January 3, 2013 Defendant and six other males drove in a white van to a CVS Pharmacy on 9 Mile Road at 4:45 a.m. and attempted to steal an ATM. Officers were called, and the white van drove at the officers and struck their vehicle. A 30 minute high speed chase ensued, involving the Warren PD, Michigan State Police, and Detroit Police Department officers. Ultimately the van was disabled and Defendant and the six others were arrested. Defendant was not the driver of the van.

efendant has four prior felony convictions, three of which involve the use of firearms, and one of which is a conviction for having a weapon while in prison. His first recorded arrest is for Attempted Felony-Controlled Substance-Del/Mfg (Cocaine, Heroin, or another Narcotic) Less than 50 Grams. Defendant entered a guilty plea and was placed in the Holmes Youthful Trainee Program (HYTA). He violated and a warrant was issued. On 12/20/96 he was arraigned on the warrant and his HYTA status was continued. On 2/27/97 he appeared on the violation and his supervision was closed and he was sentenced to 1 - 5 years custody. Defendant was discharged from prison on 6/6/2005.

On 11/14/96 he was charged with Felony Carjacking and Felony Firearm and was found guilty on both charges. He was sentenced to 18-20 months custody and 2 years custody on Count 2. He was released on parole on 5/1/2007 and discharged from parole on 6/27/2009.

On 4/17/2000 Defendant pled guilty to Felony Prisoner Possessing Weapons and on May 23 he was sentenced to an additional 16 months - 5 years custody. He was released on parole on 5/1/07 and discharged from parole on 6/27/09.

One month later Defendant was charged with Felony Firearm, Felony Assault with a Dangerous Weapon, Misdemeanor Weapons Brandishing a Firearm in Public, Felony Assault with a Dangerous Weapon, and Felon in Possession of a Firearm. He was found guilty of Counts 1,2,3 and 8. He was sentenced to 46 - 240 months custody on the most serious charge, and other lesser sentences on the lesser charges. Then on 11/1113/2012 Defendant was arraigned on a probation violation.

On 1/08/2012 the DPD requested a warrant for Felony Conspiracy-Burglary.

Then on 1/3/13 Defendant was charged with Felony Safe Breaking, and Felony Breaking and Entering a Building with Intent. Defendant was arraigned in the 16<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court in Mt. Clemens and bond was set at \$100,000 cash or surety. Jury trial is set for July 9, 2013.

Pretrial Services has interviewed the Defendant and concludes that he is a danger to the community based upon the 1) nature of the instant offense, 2) Defendant's history of violent behaviors, 3) History of Weapons Use, and 4) Criminal History.

This Court agrees. Defendant has not been deterred from continuing his criminal activities, and in fact attempted to flee in this case with his co-defendants. He has four prior felony convictions which involve drugs and weapons. He has been convicted of felony carjacking, felony firearm, felony assault, and now he is charged with bank robbery, trying to steal an ATM machine which contained \$80,000.

A preponderance of the evidence shows Defendant to be a risk of flight, and there is clear and convincing evidence that Defendant is a danger to the community. This Court adopts the recommendation of Pretrial Services and finds that there is no condition or combination of conditions that would assure Defendant's appearance in Court or the safety of the community. Therefore Detention is Ordered.